1 John 5:6 Commentary

PREVIOUS NEXT

CLICK VERSE

To go directly to that verse

INDEX FOR ALL VERSES ON 1 JOHN

1 John 5:1 1 John 5:2 1 John 5:3

1 John 5:4

1 John 5:5

1 John 5:6

1 John 5:7

1 John 5:8

1 John 5:9

1 John 5:10

1 John 5:11

1 John 5:12

1 John 5:13

1 John 5:14

1 John 5:15 1 John 5:16

1 John 5:17

1 John 5:18

1 John 5:19

1 John 5:20

1 John 5:21

FELLOWSHIP WITH GOD AND HIS CHILDREN

Click chart to enlarge
Charts from <u>Jensen's Survey of the NT</u> - used by permission
Another Overview Chart - <u>1 John - Charles Swindoll</u>

BASIS OF FELLOWSHIP		BEHAVIOR OF FELLOWSHIP		
Conditions of	Cautions of	Fellowship	Fellowship	
Fellowship	Fellowship	Characteristics	Consequences	
Meaning of		Manifestations of		
Fellowship		Fellowship		
1 Jn 1:1-2:27		1 Jn 2:28-5:21		
Abiding in		Abiding in		
God's Light		God's Love		
Written in Ephesus				
circa 90 AD				
From Talk Thru the Bible				

STUDY GUIDE 1 JOHN 5

What is this? On the photograph of the Observation Worksheet for this chapter you will find handwritten5W/H questions (Who? What? Where? When? Why? How?) on each verse to help you either personally study or lead a discussion on this chapter. The questions are generally very simple and are stated in such a way as to stimulate you to observe the text to discern the answer. As a reminder, given the truth that your ultimate Teacher is the Holy Spirit, begin your time with God with prayer such as Psalm 119:12±

"Blessed are You, O LORD; Teach me Your statutes." (you can vary it with similar prayers - Ps 119:18, 26, 33, 64, 66, 68, 108, 124, 135, 171, etc) The questions are generally highlighted in yellow and the answers in green. Some questions have no answers and are left to your observations and the illuminating/teaching ministry of the Holy Spirit. Some qualifying thoughts - (1) Use "As is" - these are handwritten and will include mistakes I made, etc. (2) They may not be the best question for a given verse and my guess is that on some verses you will think of a far superior 5W/H question and/or many other questions.

Dr Howard Hendricks once gave an assignment to his seminary students to list as many observations as they could from Acts 1:8. He said "So far they've come up with more than 600 different ones! Imagine what fun you could have with 600 observations on this passage. Would you like to see Scripture with eyes like that?" (P. 63 Living by the Book - borrow) With practice you can! And needless to say, you will likely make many more observations and related questions than I recorded on the pages below and in fact I pray that the Spirit would indeed lead you to discover a veritable treasure chest of observations and questions! In Jesus' Name. Amen

Why am I doing this? **Mortimer Adler** among others helped me develop a questioning mindset as I read, seeking to read actively rather than passively. Over the years I have discovered that as I have practiced reading with a 5W/H questioning mindset, it has yielded more accurate interpretation and the good fruit of meditation. In other words, consciously interacting with the inspired Holy Word of God and the illuminating Holy Spirit has honed my ability to meditate on the Scripture, and my prayer is that this tool will have the same impact in your spiritual life. The benefits of meditation are literally priceless in regard to their value in this life and in the life to come (cf discipline yourself for godliness in 1Ti 4:8+.) For some of the benefits - see Joshua 1:8+ and Psalm 1:2-3±. It will take diligence and mental effort to develop an "inductive" (especially an "observational"), interrogative mindset as you read God's Word, but it bears repeating that the benefits in this life and the rewards in the next will make it more than worth the effort you invest! Dear Christian reader let me encourage you to strongly consider learning the skills of inductive Bible study and spending the rest of your life practicing them on the Scriptures and living them out in your daily walk with Christ.

Although Mortimer Adler's advice is from a secular perspective, his words are worth pondering...

Strictly, all reading is active. What we call passive is simply less active. Reading is better or worse according as it is more or less active. And one reader is better than another in proportion as he is capable of a greater range of activity in reading. (Adler's classic book How to Read a Book is free online)

John Piper adds that "Insight or understanding is the product of intensive, headache-producing meditation on two or three verses and how they fit together. This kind of reflection and rumination is provoked by asking questions of the text. And you cannot do it if you hurry. Therefore, we must resist the deceptive urge to carve notches in our bibliographic gun. Take two hours to ask ten questions of Galatians 2:20+ and you will gain one hundred times the insight you would have attained by reading thirty pages of the New Testament or any other book. Slow down. Query. Ponder. Chew.... (John Dewey rightly said) "People only truly think when they are confronted with a problem. Without some kind of dilemma to stimulate thought, behavior becomes habitual rather than thoughtful."

"Asking questions is the key to understanding." -- Jonathan Edwards

That said, below are the <u>5W/H questions</u> for each verse in this chapter (click page to enlarge). This is not neatly typed but is handwritten and was used for leading a class discussion on this chapter, so you are welcome to use it in this "as is" condition...

1 John 5:6 This is the One who came by water and blood, Jesus Christ; not with the water only, but with the water and with the blood. It is the Spirit who testifies, because the Spirit is the truth:

Technical Note: If you use the older version of the NAS (1977), you should be aware that the new version (1995) has a change in 1Jn 5:6-7. The newer version shifts verse 7 in the 1977 version (*And it is the Spirit who bears witness, because the Spirit is the truth*) to the end of verse 8.

Greek - Houtos estin (3SPAI) o elthon (AAP) di hudatos kai haimatos lesous Christos ouk en to hudati monon all en to hudati kai en to haimati kai to pneuma estin (3SPAI) to marturoun (PAP) hoti to pneuma estin (3SPAI) e aletheia:

KJV 1 John 5:6 This is he that came by water and blood, even Jesus Christ; not by water only, but by water and blood. And it is the Spirit that beareth witness, because the Spirit is truth.

BGT 1 John 5:6 ο τς στιν λθ ν δι δατος κα α ματος, ησος Χριστς, ο κ ν τ δατι μ νον λλ ν τ δατι κα ν τ α ματι· κα τ πνε μ στιν τ μαρτυρο ν, τι τ πνε μ στιν λ θεια.

NET 1 John 5:6 Jesus Christ is the one who came by water and blood—not by the water only, but by the water and the blood. And the Spirit is the one who testifies, because the Spirit is the truth.

CSB 1 John 5:6 Jesus Christ-- He is the One who came by water and blood, not by water only, but by water and by blood. And the Spirit is the One who testifies, because the Spirit is the truth.

ESV 1 John 5:6 This is he who came by water and blood-- Jesus Christ; not by the water only but by the water and the blood. And the Spirit is the one who testifies, because the Spirit is the truth.

NIV 1 John 5:6 This is the one who came by water and blood--Jesus Christ. He did not come by water only, but by water and blood. And it is the Spirit who testifies, because the Spirit is the truth.

NLT 1 John 5:6 And Jesus Christ was revealed as God's Son by his baptism in water and by shedding his blood on the cross-- not by water only, but by water and blood. And the Spirit, who is truth, confirms it with his testimony.

NRS 1 John 5:6 This is the one who came by water and blood, Jesus Christ, not with the water only but with the water and the blood. And the Spirit is the one that testifies, for the Spirit is the truth.

NJB 1 John 5:6 He it is who came by water and blood, Jesus Christ, not with water alone but with water and blood, and it is the Spirit that bears witness, for the Spirit is Truth.

NAB 1 John 5:6 This is the one who came through water and blood, Jesus Christ, not by water alone, but by water and blood. The Spirit is the one that testifies, and the Spirit is truth.

YLT 1 John 5:6 This one is he who did come through water and blood -- Jesus the Christ, not in the water only, but in the water and the blood; and the Spirit it is that is testifying, because the Spirit is the truth,

MIT 1 John 5:6 This one, Jesus Christ, came through water and blood—not by water alone, but by water and blood. God's spirit certifies this, because the spirit is truth.

GWN 1 John 5:6 This Son of God is Jesus Christ, who came by water and blood. He didn't come with water only, but with water and with blood. The Spirit is the one who verifies this, because the Spirit is the truth.

BBE 1 John 5:6 This is he who came by water and by blood, Jesus Christ; not by water only but by water and by blood. 7 And the Spirit is the witness, because the Spirit is true.

RSV 1 John 5:6 This is he who came by water and blood, Jesus Christ, not with the water only but with the water and the blood. 7 And the Spirit is the witness, because the Spirit is the truth.

NKJ 1 John 5:6 This is He who came by water and blood-- Jesus Christ; not only by water, but by water and blood. And it is the Spirit who bears witness, because the Spirit is truth.

ASV 1 John 5:6 This is he that came by water and blood, even Jesus Christ; not with the water only, but with the water and with the blood. 7 And it is the Spirit that beareth witness, because the Spirit is the truth.

DBY 1 John 5:6 This is he that came by water and blood, Jesus the Christ; not by water only, but by water and blood. And it is the Spirit that bears witness, for the Spirit is the truth.

NIRV 1 John 5:6 Jesus Christ is the one who was baptized in water and died on the cross. He wasn't just baptized in water. He also died on the cross. The Holy Spirit has given a truthful witness about him. That's because the Spirit is the truth.

RWB 1 John 5:6 This is he that came by water and blood, even Jesus Christ; not by water only, but by water and blood. And it is the Spirit that beareth witness, because the Spirit is truth.

Amplified - This is He Who came by (with) water and blood His baptism and His death], Jesus Christ (the Messiah)—not by (in) the water only, but by (in) the water and the blood. And it is the [Holy] Spirit Who bears witness, because the [Holy] Spirit is the Truth.

Wuest - This is the One who came through water and blood, Jesus Christ; not in the sphere of the water only, but in the sphere of the water and the blood. And the Spirit is the One who is constantly bearing witness, because the Spirit is the truth.

- by water and: Isa 45:3,4 Eze 36:25 Joh 1:31-33 3:5 4:10,14 7:38,39 Ac 8:36 Eph 5:25-27 Titus 3:5 1Pe 3:21
- blood: 1Jn 1:7 4:10 Lev 17:11 Zec 9:11 Mt 26:28 Mk 14:24 Lu 22:20 Joh 6:55 Ro 3:25 Eph 1:7 Col 1:4 Heb 9:7,14 10:29 12:24 13:20 1Pe 1:2 Rev 1:5 5:9 7:14
- the Spirit that: 1Jn 5:7,8 Joh 14:17 15:26 1Ti 3:16
- is truth: Joh 14:6 16:13
- 1 John 5 Resources Multiple Sermons and Commentaries

Related Passages:

Jn 14:17+ That is the **Spirit of truth**, whom the world cannot receive, because it does not behold Him or know Him, but you know Him because He abides with you, and will be in you. (See note on Spirit of Truth)

Jn 15:26-27+ "When the Helper comes, whom I will send to you from the Father, that is the **Spirit of truth**, who proceeds from the Father, He will bear witness (<u>martureo</u>) of Me, 27 and you will bear witness (<u>martureo</u>) also (Exactly what John is doing in the First Epistle of John!), because you have been with Me from the beginning."

Jn 16:13+ "when He, the **Spirit of truth**, comes, He will guide you into all the truth; for (term of explanation) He will not speak on His own initiative, but whatever He hears (Who does He hear? The Father Who is the essence of truth, cp 1Jn 5:20), He will speak; and He will disclose to you what is to come."

Deuteronomy 19:15+ "A single witness shall not rise up against a man on account of any iniquity or any sin which he has committed; on the evidence of two or three witnesses a matter shall be confirmed.

1 John 1:1-4 What was from the beginning, what we have heard, what we have seen with our eyes, what we beheld and our hands handled, concerning the Word of Life-2 and the life was manifested, and we have seen and bear witness and proclaim to you the eternal life, which was with the Father and was manifested to us-3 what we have seen and heard we proclaim to you also, that you also may have fellowship with us; and indeed our fellowship is with the Father, and with His Son Jesus Christ. 4 And these things we write, so that our joy may be made complete.

1 John 4:14 And we have beheld and bear witness that the Father has sent the Son to be the Savior of the world.

A TRIAD OF WITNESSES OF JESUS CHRIST

This (Jesus) is the One who came (erchomai - aorist tense = a historical event) by water and blood (haima), Jesus (lesous) Christ (christos); not with the water only, but with the water and with the blood (haima) - This (houtos) - This demonstrative pronoun refers back to Jesus the Son of God in 1Jn 5:5+. What John is saying is that the eternal Son of God is one and the same person with the historical Jesus. John makes a clear statement of the historical appearance of the long expected Messiah "being made in the likeness of men. And being found in appearance as a man" (Php 2:7-8+). The verb came is in the aorist tense indicating a past event, a historical event, indeed, a monumental, life changing, earth shaking event (cf Mt 27:51). The Son of God of eternity "invaded" time to redeem the world from bondage to sin and Satan. And John wants to remind us that His coming in history was not without clear, irrefutable evidence, and so like a good lawyer he calls to the stand two reliable witnesses named "water" and "blood," in a sense personifying them.

The incarnation of Jesus Christ is the glorious central truth of redemptive history and the foundation of the Christian faith -- John MacArthur

Wuest comments on Jesus Christ - The combination "Jesus Christ," used together by John to designate one individual, is a refutation of the Cerinthian Gnostic heresy (Cerinthuss) to the effect that Jesus was the person, only human, not deity, and that the Christ or divine element came upon Him at His baptism and left Him before His death on the Cross.(Eerdmans Publishing - used by permission)

<u>Hiebert</u> adds "the appositional identification "**Jesus Christ**" marks His historical identity as Jesus of Nazareth but associates Him with His messianic office."

John has just mentioned **Jesus the Son of God** (1Jn 5:5+) and now describes the historical appearance of **Jesus Christ** in this next section (1Jn 5:6-9). Recall that the major point of attack by the anti-Christs (as it is in **EVERY** cult!) is on the Person of Jesus

Christ, fully God, fully Man.

B F Westcott - The victory of Faith has been shown to lie in the confession of **Jesus** as the **Son of God** (1Jn 5:5). John now goes on to unfold the character (1Jn 5:6–8), and the effectiveness (1Jn 5:9–12), of the witness by which this confession is sustained and justified. (Commentary)

In 1Jn 5:6-8 we encounter John's teaching on a **triad of witnesses**, three witnesses who (water and blood appear to be personified in 1Jn 5:8 by their association with the Person of the Spirit) state that Jesus Christ is the Son of God. John appears to base his argument on the fact that the validity of personal testimony in the OT was linked to a specific number of credible witnesses, Moses declaring that "two or three witnesses" were necessary to establish guilt or innocence on criminal charges (Dt 19:15+) and only on this basis could a person be sentenced to death (Dt 17:6). Jesus applied the principle of "**two or three witnesses**" to His instructions for resolving disputes in the church (Mt 18:15-16). Paul used this standard to determine whether an accusation could be sustained against an elder (1Ti 5:19). In his second letter to the Corinthians, Paul sees his thrice repeated testimony alone, whether in person or by letter, as satisfying the requirement of two or three witnesses" (2Cor 13:1-3). The writer of the book of Hebrews alludes to this pattern writing that "Anyone who has set aside the Law of Moses dies without mercy on [the testimony of] **two or three witnesses**. How much severer punishment do you think he will deserve who has trampled under foot the Son of God, and has regarded as unclean the blood of the covenant by which he was sanctified, and has insulted the Spirit of grace?" (Heb 10:28-29+) In this passage the writer points out the "greater testimony" of two witnesses, **the Son of God** and **the Spirit of grace**.

Witness (or testify, noun and verb) is akey word in 1Jn 5:6-11. As **Williamson** explains "John places enormous significance upon faithful witness as he nears the conclusion of his letter. This theme recalls the opening statement, "The life appeared; we have seen it and testify to it" (1Jn 1:2+). In chapter 5 John employs forms of "witness" and "testimony" ten times in five verses (1Jn 5:6, 7, four times in 1Jn 5:9, three times in 1Jn 5:10, and 1Jn 5:11). (1, 2, & 3 John- A Commentary in the Wesleyan Tradition)

Steven Cole introduces this section noting that "Skeptics frequently allege that Christian conversion is merely a psychological phenomenon that can be explained in purely naturalistic terms. In this view, conversion to Christ is a purely subjective experience. It's nice if it works for you, but you shouldn't try to impose it on everyone else or say that those who do not believe as you do are wrong. If you say that Jesus Christ changed your life, the skeptic will reply, "That's great for you, but it doesn't prove that Christianity is true for everyone else. Buddhism changed Richard Gere's life. Scientology changed Tom Cruise's life. Cabalistic Judaism seems to have changed Madonna's life. So if you want to look at changed lives, there is plenty of evidence that Christianity is not the only religious truth out there." How do you counter such arguments? There is value in subjective, inner assurance of the truth of the gospel for believers. But we need a more sure foundation for our faith than our subjective experience alone provides. Throughout First John, the apostle has been addressing the matter of authentic Christianity. False teachers had caused confusion in the church and had left, taking a number of people with them. They claimed to have secret knowledge about Jesus Christ, but their teaching contradicted the apostolic witness to Christ. John repeatedly shows that authentic Christians believe the truth about Jesus Christ, they obey God's commandments, and they love one another. He began the letter by affirming the certainty of what the apostles knew about Jesus Christ (1Jn 1:1-3+). John wasn't relaying some inner, subjective vision or philosophy. He was telling about his objective experience with Jesus Christ. You can't get much more objective than seeing, hearing, and touching! Jesus Christ is God's witness to us through the apostles who spent three years with Him. In our text, John comes back to this objective witness with which he opened this letter. He wants us to have a sure foundation for our faith. Authentic Christian faith rests on God's testimony to the person of Jesus Christ. In 1Jn 5:6-9, John shows that God has given a trustworthy three-fold witness to His Son. Then in 1Jn 5:10-13+, he shows that believing God's witness to His Son gives us a sure foundation for our faith, with the aim (1Jn 5:13+) "that you may know that you have eternal life."(1 John 5:5-13 Is Christianity Merely Psychological?) (Bolding added)

Martyn Lloyd-Jones states "Now there can be no question at all but that these three verses are not only the most difficult verses in this epistle, but I think ... that they are the three most difficult verses, in a sense, in the entire Bible!" (<u>Life in Christ - includes Volume</u> 5 = "Life in God")

Cole goes on to address the two difficulties in this section -The Textual Problem: The textual problem is that the New King James Version (and the KJV) reads as follows (1Jn 5:7-8): "For there are three who bear witness in heaven: the Father, the Word, and the Holy Spirit; and these three are one. And there are three that bear witness on earth: the Spirit, the water, and the blood; and these three agree as one." It is certain that the phrase beginning with "in heaven" (1Jn 5:7) through "on earth" are not a part of John's original letter and should be omitted. There are no Greek manuscripts with this additional phrase before the 15th century. It comes from a marginal comment that was incorporated into the text of an Old Latin 5th century manuscript. (The Epistles of John-Introduction, Exposition, and Notes- Frederick Fyvie Bruce, gives a full account of this.) The original text is accurately represented in the New American Standard Bible, the English Standard Version, and the New International Version.

Came (erchomai) means that Jesus entered into humanity, the agrist tense signifying that His entrance was a definite historical event. In short this is a description of His First Coming. From the context this reference is not only to Jesus' birth but also a

reference to His public appearance as the Messiah, the Anointed One. After He was baptized by John, He was led into the wilderness, after which He began His ministry in the synagogue at Nazareth reading from Isaiah 61:1-2a+ "THE SPIRIT OF THE LORD IS UPON ME, BECAUSE HE ANOINTED (chrio root verb of Christos = Messiah - Anointed One) ME TO PREACH THE GOSPEL TO THE POOR. (Lk 4:18+) and declaring "Today this Scripture has been fulfilled in your hearing," (Lk 4:21+), in effect stating that He was the fulfillment of this OT Messianic Prophecy! You could have heard a pin drop in the Jewish synagogue that day (cp Lk 4:20+)!

<u>Hiebert</u> - The expression rendered "**He that came**" (ho elthon) suggests the varied Gospel references to the Messiah as "He that cometh" (*ho erchomenos*; cf. Mt. 11:3 and Lk 7:19 both refer to the "**Expected One**");

Mt 11:3+ and said to Him, "Are You the **Expected One** (ho erchomenos = literally "the one who is to come"), or shall we look for someone else (Greek = heteros = basically = another of a different kind)?"

Lk 7:19+ And summoning two of his disciples, John sent them to the Lord, saying, 'Are You the Expected One, or do we look for someone else?"

Jn 1:15+ John *bore witness of Him, and cried out, saying, "This was He of whom I said, **He Who comes** after me has a higher rank than I, for He existed before me."

Jn 1:27+ "[It is] He who comes after me, the thong of whose sandal I am not worthy to untie."

Jn 6:14+ When therefore the people saw the sign which He had performed, they said, "This is of a truth the Prophet **Who is to come** into the world."

Jn 11:27+ She *said to Him, "Yes, Lord; I have believed that You are the Christ, the Son of God, [even] **He who comes** into the world."

Jn 12:13+ took the branches of the palm trees, and went out to meet Him, and [began] to cry out, "Hosanna! BLESSED IS **HE WHO COMES** IN THE NAME OF THE LORD, even the King of Israel."

Indeed all of his children of all the ages since have prayed unceasingly the words of Charles Wesley's timeless hymn...

Come Thou Long-Expected Jesus

Born to set Thy people free; (Ro 8:2, Gal 5:1)
From our fears and sins release us, (He 2:15)
Let us find our rest in Thee. (Mt 11:28-30)
Israel's Strength and Consolation, (Lk 2:25KJV)
Hope of all the earth Thou art; (1Ti 1:1b)
Dear Desire of every nation, (Hag 2:7KJV)
Joy of every longing heart. (1Th 1:6b)

Born Thy people to deliver,
Born a child and yet a King,
Born to reign in us forever,
Now Thy gracious kingdom bring.
By Thine own eternal Spirit
Rule in all our hearts alone;
By Thine all sufficient merit,
Raise us to Thy glorious throne.

Indeed... Maranatha!

THE WATER AND THE BLOOD

By water and blood - To what do these refer? Plummer observes that "This is the most perplexing passage in the Epistle and one of the most perplexing in NT."

The **New Living Translation** which is a paraphrase which in essence interprets **water and blood** - "And Jesus Christ was revealed as God's Son by his baptism in water and by shedding his blood on the cross-- not by water only, but by water and blood. And the Spirit, who is truth, confirms it with his testimony."

Hiebert comments that "The oldest and most natural view understands "water" as a reference to Christ's baptism, with the attendant witnesses to His identity, at the commencement of His ministry (Mt. 3:13–17; Mk 1:9–11; Jn 1:31–34) and "blood" to the consummation of His saving ministry on the cross. This view is supported by the fact that "the context dictates that here water and blood must validate the manner of Jesus' coming." The two terms serve to sum up Christ's redemptive mission. As Plummer (Cambridge Commentary) notes, "Christ's Baptism, with the Divine proclamation of Him as the Son of God and the Divine outpouring of the Spirit upon Him, is not merely the opening but the explanation of the whole of His Ministry. The bloody death upon the Cross is not merely the close but the explanation of His Passion."

<u>Marvin Vincent</u> - Water refers to Christ's baptism at the beginning of His Messianic work, through which He declared His purpose to fulfill all righteousness (Mt. 3:15). **Blood** refers to His bloody death upon the Cross for the sin of the world."

<u>John MacArthur (borrow)</u> - Water and the blood constitute external, objective witnesses to who Jesus Christ is. They refer to Jesus' baptism (water) and death (blood). John combats the dualism of false teachers who asserted that "Christ-spirit" departed from the man Jesus just prior to His death on the cross

Wycliffe Bible Commentary (online) has an excellent, succinct comment on water and blood - Water and blood. These have been interpreted to mean (1) the baptism and death of Christ; (2) the water and blood which flowed from Christ's side on the cross; (3) purification and redemption; and (4) the sacraments of baptism and the Lord's Supper. The last two interpretations are symbolical; and there is no call for such interpretations here because **came** is a rist, referring to actual event. The first two make the phrase refer to actual events in the Lord's life. The second is not to be preferred because the order of the words is reversed (cf. Jn 19:34). The first is the most satisfactory explanation. Christ came through (dia, "by means of") baptism, which marked him off and associated his ministry with righteousness; and through blood, his death, which paid the penalty for the sins of the world. His ministry was also exercised in (the second and third by in the verse) the sphere of what his baptism and his death stood for. The Holy Spirit continues to bear witness of this truth. Baptism and death were the two termini of our Lord's ministry.

New Bible Commentary (borrow) agrees with Wycliffe - That Jesus came by water surely refers to his baptism, and likewise blood to his death. At his baptism he heard the heavenly voice and he solemnly entered his life's work. Some heretics apparently held that the divine Christ came on Jesus when he was baptized, but left him before his death. John contests this with his emphasis on blood: not by water only, but by water and blood. It was (and is) this that is the heart of the gospel. There were apparently no doubts about the water but the blood seems to have been the stumbling block; heretics evidently found it impossible to hold that the divine Christ could die. John brings out the fact that the water did not stand alone. The water and the blood go together. Further, it is the Spirit who testifies (the present tense points to a continuing activity). He has an excellent qualification for this for the Spirit is the truth (as is Jesus; Jn. 14:6).

<u>A T Robertson</u> agrees "These two incidents in the Incarnation are singled out because at the **baptism** Jesus was formally set apart to His Messianic work by the coming of the Holy Spirit upon Him and by the Father's audible witness, and because at the **Cross** His work reached its culmination ('It is finished,' Jesus said [See <u>tetelestai - It is Finished! Paid in Full!</u>)."

Luke seems to concur with Robertson's reasoning describing the beginning of Jesus' ministry -- "you yourselves know the thing which took place throughout all Judea, starting from Galilee, after the **baptism** which John proclaimed. "[You know of] Jesus of Nazareth, how God anointed Him with the Holy Spirit and with power, and [how] He went about doing good, and healing all who were oppressed by the devil; for God was with Him." (Acts 10:37-38+)

John MacArthur - It is best to see the water here as a reference to Christ's baptism and the blood as a reference to His death. Those two notable events bracketed the Lord's earthly ministry, and in both of them the Father testified concerning His Son.

I Howard Marshall agrees - In all probability he is referring to the water of Jesus' baptism and the blood of his death. He is claiming that Jesus Christ truly was baptized and truly died on the cross. (Borrow The Epistles of John)

Colin Kruse - Of these various suggested interpretations of Jesus' coming 'by water', it is the second, that is, that it refers to Jesus' baptising ministry, which has the most to commend it....Thus, we may say that Jesus' coming 'by water' and his coming 'by blood' both refer to things Jesus did. His coming 'by water' refers to his baptising ministry. His coming 'by blood' refers to his laying down his life as an atoning sacrifice in order to provide cleansing for the sins of his people. It was the reality and atoning significance of Jesus' death that the secessionists denied, and that the author felt compelled to assert. (See The Letters of John - Page 177)

Simon Kistemaker - scholars generally agree that the phrase relates to the history of Jesus. That is, the terms water and blood refer respectively to the beginning of Jesus' ministry marked by his baptism in the Jordan River and to his death on Calvary's cross...."He did not come by water only, but by water and blood." John may have written these words to combat the heretical movement known as Gnosticism. One representative, Cerinthus, taught that the divine Christ descended upon Jesus at the time of his baptism and left him before he died on the cross (see the comments at 2:22).14 The Gnostics claimed that Christ did not

experience death. In opposition to this Gnostic heresy, which presumably had just begun to exert itself when John wrote his epistle, John teaches the historical veracity of Jesus Christ: the Son of God began his earthly ministry when he was baptized; he completed this ministry when he shed his blood and died. (New Testament Commentary: James and I-III John)

ESV Study Bible (borrow) - Water most likely refers to Jesus' baptism by John the Baptist. Blood signifies Christ's atoning death on the cross. the Spirit is the one who testifies.

In summary Jesus' baptism (water) marked the inception of His ministry and the crucifixion (blood) marked the accomplishment of His ministry. While this is the interpretation I favor, the reader needs to be aware that the interpretation of water and blood is not straightforward. For a more detailed discussion of some of the interpretative views read Steven Cole's note below.

By (dia) is a preposition which is used to describe intermediate agency. Wuest adds that this preposition speaks "of accompaniment and instrumentality. His coming to make an atonement for sin was accompanied by and made effective through water and blood."

BY WATER: JESUS' BAPTISM

At the beginning of the ministry of Jesus the Father testified of His Son when He was baptized by John.

Mt. 3:13-17+ - Then Jesus *arrived from Galilee at the Jordan [coming] to John, to be baptized by him. But John tried to prevent Him, saying, "I have need to be baptized by You, and do You come to me?" But Jesus answering said to him, "Permit [it] at this time; for in this way it is fitting for us to fulfill all righteousness." Then he *permitted Him. And after being baptized, Jesus went up immediately from the water; and behold, the heavens were opened, and he saw the Spirit of God descending as a dove, [and] coming upon Him, and behold, a voice out of the heavens, saying, "This is My beloved Son, in whom I am well-pleased."

John MacArthur explains - John the Baptist knew that as the spotless "Lamb of God who takes away the sin of the world" (John 1:29), Jesus had no sin to repent of and hence no need to be baptized. Therefore "John tried to prevent Him, saying, 'I have need to be baptized by You, and do You come to me?"" (Mt. 3:14). John was shocked by the reversal of what he knew to be true. He was the sinner, Jesus the sinless one; he was the lesser, Jesus the greater (cf. John 1:27; 3:30). Although He was without sin (2Cor 5:21; Heb. 4:15; 7:26; 1Per 2:22; cf. Jn 8:46), it was still necessary for Jesus to be baptized. By doing so, He publicly identified with sinners. Therefore He told John, "Permit it at this time; for in this way it is fitting for us to fulfill all righteousness" (Mt. 3:15). Jesus always performed what God required of His people; He claimed no exemption here, just as He claimed no exemption from paying the temple tax (Mt 17:24-27). His perfect obedience (cf. John 4:34; 8:29; 14:31; 15:10) made Him the sinless sacrifice whose death made atonement for sin. (See The MacArthur New Testament Commentary)

Mk 1:9-11+ - And it came about in those days that Jesus came from Nazareth in Galilee, and was baptized by John in the Jordan. And immediately coming up out of the water, He saw the heavens opening, and the Spirit like a dove descending upon Him; and a voice came out of the heavens: "Thou art My beloved Son, in Thee I am well-pleased."

Jn 1:31-34+ "And I did not recognize Him, but in order that He might be manifested to Israel, I came baptizing in water." And John bore witness saying, "I have beheld the Spirit descending as a dove out of heaven, and He remained upon Him. "And I did not recognize Him, but He who sent me to baptize in water said to me, 'He upon whom you see the Spirit descending and remaining upon Him, this is the one who baptizes in the Holy Spirit.' "And I have seen, and have borne witness that this is the Son of God."

Comment - The physical manifestation of the Holy Spirit's presence provided visible evidence of the Father's testimony to the Son.

Peter associates the beginning of Jesus' ministry with His **baptism in water** writing "you yourselves know **the thing which took place throughout all Judea**, **starting from Galilee**, **after the baptism** which John proclaimed. "[You know of] Jesus of Nazareth, how God anointed Him with the Holy Spirit and with power, and [how] He went about doing good, and healing all who were oppressed by the devil; for God was with Him." (Acts 10:37-38+) The point of "**you yourselves know**" indicates that this Jesus' water baptism was something even the Gentiles (Cornelius a God fearing Gentile was with his relatives and close friends - Acts 10:22, 24) aware and with therefore served as a clear testimony (witness) of the beginning of His ministry.

BY BLOOD: JESUS' CRUCIFIXION

At the termination of the earthly ministry of Jesus the Father testified of His Son in several miraculous events. While the Father did not literally speak He did act and these miracles associated with the crucifixion gave clear testimony that Jesus was the Son of God (e.g., in Mt 27:54 There was an unbelieving roman soldier at the cross when Christ died who said, "Truly this was the Son of God." The cross witnessed to the deity of Jesus Christ.), the Messiah about whom the OT prophesied that He must suffer and die (see Isaiah 53:2-9).

Matt 27:45-46+ Now from the sixth hour darkness fell upon all the land until the ninth hour. And about the ninth hour Jesus cried out with a loud voice, saying, "ELI, ELI, LAMA SABACHTHANI?" that is, "MY GOD, MY GOD, WHY HAST THOU FORSAKEN ME?"

Comment - This supernatural darkness in the middle of the day came symbolized the Father's forsaking of the Son as bore the sins of the world. As a result, Jesus cried out quoting Ps 22:1.n.

Matt 27:51-53+ And behold, the veil of the temple was torn in two from top to bottom, and the earth shook; and the rocks were split, and the tombs were opened; and many bodies of the saints who had fallen asleep were raised; and coming out of the tombs after His resurrection they entered the holy city and appeared to many.

Comment - The tearing of the veil symbolized the Father's acceptance of His Son's atoning sacrifice, and the opening of the way into His (the Father's) very presence, the tearing of His Son's flesh symbolizing by the tearing of the veil (Heb 10:19-20).

MacArthur on saints raised - Their appearance in bodily form testified to Christ's resurrection as the "first fruits of those who are asleep" (1Cor. 15:20). So overwhelming was God's miraculous testimony to Jesus that a battle-hardened Roman centurion who witnessed it cried out in terror, "**Truly this was the Son of God!**" (Mt 27:54+; cf. Mk 15:39). (See <u>1-3 John MacArthur New Testament Commentary</u>)

Not with the water only but with the water and with the blood - At first reading this seems to be redundant but as John MacArthur points out this phrase "is not redundant, but addresses an important theological point. The Father did not, as the false teachers whom John was combating insisted, affirm Jesus at His baptism, but not at His death. Those heretics, purveyors of an incipient form of Gnosticism, taught that the "Christ spirit" descended on the man Jesus at His baptism, making Him the anointed One of God. According to this heresy, Jesus, under the control of the "Christ spirit," gave valuable ethical teachings during His ministry. But the Christ spirit left Him before the crucifixion and, the false teachers further claimed, He died as a mere man, not the God-man whose sacrificial death atoned for the sins of all who would ever be justified. Like any teaching that denies the efficacy of Christ's substitutionary atonement, that teaching was a satanic lie, since "Jesus Christ the righteous... is the propitiation for our sins" (1Jn 2:1-2; cf. 1Jn 4:10; Ro 3:25; Heb 2:17). If He did not possess His divine nature on the cross, Jesus could not and did not conquer sin and death for believers. But the glorious truth is that "He... who knew no sin [became] sin on our behalf, so that we might become the righteousness of God in Him" (2Cor. 5:21+)." (See The MacArthur Commentary)

What was the testimony by they blood, assuming that was in fact at this crucifixion and death? Recall that there were several clearly supernatural events associated with His crucifixion and these would have objective witnesses, and one (#5) was actually verbal: (1) supernatural eclipse of the sun (Mt 27:45) (2) an earthquake (Mt 27:51); (3) the temple veil was rent in two (Mt 27:51); (4) There was partial resurrection of saints (Mt 27:52-53) (5) There was non-Jewish witness, a Roman soldier (Mt 27:54) crucifying Jesus, who acknowledged Jesus declaring "Truly this was the Son of God!"

A TRUE WITNESS: THE SPIRIT OF TRUTH

It is the Spirit (pneuma) Who testifies (martureo present tense - stresses His continuing activity), because (hoti) the Spirit (pneuma) is the truth (aletheia) - The Spirit continually (present tense) gives evidence, affirming the truth that Jesus is the Messiah (1Jn 5:1HCSB), the Son of God (1Jn 5:5). He continually, supernaturally brings the truth about Jesus to the light, with a testimony based not on opinion but based upon historical facts. His testimony can be believed beloved! But remember Paul's words in 1Cor 2:14+ that "a natural man does not accept the things of the Spirit of God, for they are foolishness to him; and he cannot understand them, because they are spiritually appraised."

Believers should be thankful that the Spirit of truth is with us, in us, and upon us.

For, without His guidance and light,
we could not distinguish truth from error.

ESV Study Bible (borrow) on **Spirit Who testifies** - The structure of the verse emphasizes that it is the characteristic work of the Holy Spirit to bear witness to the fact that Christ came (see 1Jn 5:6a). It is the inward work of the Spirit in the heart of the believers to convict of sin and to open their eyes to see the truth of who Christ is and to understand the meaning of his atoning death for their sins (cf. 1Jn 5:10). Likewise, the indwelling presence of the Spirit is given to teach believers the truth of God's Word and to abide in them (1Jn 2:27; 4:13).

How did the Holy Spirit testify that Jesus is the Son of God? In Jn 1:32-34) the Spirit descended on Jesus at His baptism. Now the Spirit continues (present tense) to affirm and confirm in the hearts of believers that Jesus' baptism and death verify that He is the Messiah, the Son of God (see 1Jn 2:27; 1Co 12:3, Ro 8:16).

Because (hoti) the Spirit (pneuma) is the truth (cf "Spirit of truth" = Jn 14:17, 15:26, 16:13, 1Jn 4:6) - The because is a term of explanation which always begs the question "What is being explained?" In this case the reason that the Spirit is a witness and a trustworthy one is because the Spirit is (present tense - continually) the truth (aletheia). Aletheia is that which is seen or expressed as it really is. In other words truth is the correspondence between a reality (of Jesus) and the Spirit's descriptive declaration about Him. To say it another way, words inspired by the Spirit in the Scripture (2Pe 1:21+, 2Ti 3:16+) about Jesus are true because they correspond with objective reality of the Person of Jesus.

Colin Kruse on **the Spirit is the truth** - At a minimum this constitutes a guarantee of the truthfulness of the Spirit as witness to Jesus, but it may also imply that, as truth is personified in God elsewhere in 1 John (5:20) and in Jesus in the Fourth Gospel (John 14:6), so also it is personified in the Spirit in this verse.(See <u>The Letters of John - Page 177</u>)

Everything the **Spirit** says is **truth**. No exceptions! And all spiritual truth is from Him (2Pe 1:21+, 2Ti 3:16+). It is only as He teaches us that we come to know truth (Ps 143:10±, Lk 12:12+, Jn 14:26). When He testifies or bears witness about Jesus, what He says is true and we do well to receive this truth and live by it! God has given us the witness of His Spirit of Truth to guide us through the treacherous paths of this world's lies and darkness!

Lead me in Thy truth and teach me, For Thou art the God of my salvation; For Thee I wait all the day. Ps 25:5±

Furthermore, the Holy Spirit is the Source and Revealer of divine truth (1 Peter 1:12; cf. Acts 1:16; 28:25; Heb. 3:7; 10:15-17),

MacArthur adds "Apart from Him, people cannot know God's truth (1Co 2:12-16+; 1Jn 2:20, 27+)."

Vine makes an interesting observation that with the statement the Spirit is truth John basically confirms "the deity of the Holy Spirit. (David writes) "God is true" (Ps. 31:5). Christ is "the truth" (John 14:16). and "the Spirit is the truth." He is one in divine nature with the Father and the Son."

HOW DOES THE SPIRIT TESTIFY?

So how did the Spirit bear witness that Jesus was the Messiah, the Son of God? Here are a few of the manifold ways the Spirit gave a true testimony of Jesus.

(1) The Spirit bore witness of Jesus at His baptism, when Jesus willingly identified with sinners, although He Himself did not need to be cleansed.

Now when all the people were baptized, Jesus was also baptized, and while He was praying, heaven was opened, and the Holy Spirit descended upon Him in bodily form like a dove, and a voice came out of heaven, "You are My beloved Son, in You I am well-pleased." (Lk 3:21-22+, cp Mt 3:16-17+)

John testified saying, "I have seen the Spirit descending as a dove out of heaven, and He remained upon Him. "I did not recognize Him, but He who sent me to baptize in water said to me, 'He upon whom you see the Spirit descending and remaining upon Him, this is the One who baptizes in the Holy Spirit.' "I myself have seen, and have testified that this is the Son of God." (Jn 1:32-34+)

(2) The Spirit bore witness of Jesus throughout His earthly ministry, through Spirit empowered ministry, miracles, teaching, and obedience.

And Jesus, **full of the Holy Spirit**, (full of = controlled by) returned from the Jordan and was **led about by the Spirit** (implying willing submission and surrender) in the wilderness. (Lk 4:1+)

And Jesus returned to Galilee in the **power of the Spirit**; and news about Him spread through all the surrounding district. (Lk 4:14+)

Comment: Jesus always did the will of the Father in the power of the Spirit. And beloved this is the ONLY WAY we can do the will of our Father in Heaven - energized and empowered by the same Holy Spirit Who enabled Jesus! Amazing truth! Amazing grace! (See <u>The Holy Spirit-Walking Like Jesus Walked!</u>)

THE **SPIRIT OF THE LORD IS UPON ME**, BECAUSE HE ANOINTED ME TO PREACH THE GOSPEL TO THE POOR. HE HAS SENT ME TO PROCLAIM RELEASE TO THE CAPTIVES, AND RECOVERY OF SIGHT TO THE BLIND, TO SET FREE THOSE WHO ARE DOWNTRODDEN, TO PROCLAIM THE FAVORABLE YEAR OF THE LORD." (Lk 4:18-19+)

"But if I cast out demons by the Spirit of God, then the kingdom of God has come upon you. (Mt 12:28)

Peter declared "You know of Jesus of Nazareth, how Godanointed Him with the Holy Spirit and with power, and how He went about doing good and healing (His miracles) all who were oppressed by the devil, for God was with Him." (Acts 10:38+)

MacArthur comments - The Holy Spirit remained fixed on Christ and **controlled His human nature.** When Jesus--God in human flesh-- came into the world, He did not cease to be God, but restricted the use of His divine powers to what the Holy Spirit wanted to accomplish. He simply became a Son, a servant through whom the Holy Spirit worked. Jesus restricted the use of His divine powers and became a living illustration of obedience through which the Holy Spirit took control. (The Witness of God)

(3) He bore witness to Jesus as the Lamb of God initially through John the Baptist's Spirit filled witness (Lk 1:15+).

The next day he (John the Baptist) saw Jesus coming to him, and *said, "Behold, the Lamb of God who takes away the sin of the world! (John 1:29+)

Again the next day John was standing with two of his disciples, 36 and he looked at Jesus as He walked, and said, "Behold, the Lamb of God!" (Jn 1:35-36+) (How did John know Jesus was the Lamb of God? Read Jn 1:32-34+).

(4) He bore witness to Jesus was the satisfactory sacrifice for sins on the Cross by resurrecting Him from the dead.

Paul writes He was "declared the Son of God with power by the resurrection from the dead, according to the Spirit of holiness, Jesus Christ our Lord." (Ro 1:4+)

(5) The Spirit bore witness to Jesus' promise to send another Helper, the Holy Spirit.

"And I will ask the Father, and He will give you another (not heteros but allos = just like Him) Helper, that He may be with you forever; (Jn 14:16)

"And there appeared to them tongues as of fire distributing themselves, and they rested on each one of them. And they were all filled with the Holy Spirit and began to speak with other tongues, as the Spirit was giving them utterance. He descended on the church at the Day of Pentecost. (Acts 2:3-4+)

(6) The Spirit further affirmed the witness to Jesus through the apostles' bold preaching and teaching through miracles He did through them and supremely in the written testimony they were inspired to write, the New Testament.

Saul (Paul) "filled with the Holy Spirit" (Acts 9:17+)... began to proclaim Jesus in the synagogues, saying, "He is the Son of God" (Acts 9:20+)... increasing in strength and confounding the Jews who lived at Damascus by proving that this Jesus is the Messiah (Acts 9:22HCSB+).

"Peter, filled with the Holy Spirit, said to them, "Rulers and elders of the people... let it be known to all of you, and to all the people of Israel, that by the Name of Jesus Christ the Nazarene, Whom you crucified, Whom God raised from the dead-- by this Name this man stands here before you in good health. He (Jesus) is the STONE WHICH WAS REJECTED by you, THE BUILDERS, but WHICH BECAME THE VERY CORNER stone (quoting the Messianic Prophecies in the Scriptures [= Old Testament] - Ps 118:22-23, Isa 28:16). And there is salvation in no one else; for there is no other name under heaven that has been given among men, by which we must be saved." (Acts 4:8-12, 13+)

"No prophecy was ever made by an act of human will, but men moved by the Holy Spirit spoke from God"

(2Pe 1:21+)

"But I tell you the truth, it is to your advantage that I go away; for if I do not go away, the Helper shall not come to you; but if I go, I will send Him to you." (Jn 16:7)

Comment: The testimony of the first disciples was enabled by the Spirit (cp Acts 4:31+, Acts 6:8-10+) sent to them by the "*absent*," though *present*, Jesus. Indeed, the Spirit has continued to testify throughout this present evil age (Gal 1:4+) through the witness of His Spirit filled disciples (that is us beloved) for we have the absent Jesus' sure promise "lo I am with you always, even to the end of the age." (Mt 28:20, cp our charge in Mt 28:18-19+).

Vine adds that the Holy Spirit "bears witness through the Holy Scriptures, which are God-breathed (2Ti 3:16), and through testimony in accordance with them the Scriptures constitute the truth because "the Spirit is the truth."

Ray Pritchard on the Spirit is the truth, the Spirit of truth - Here is a title pregnant with meaning. To call the Holy Spirit the Spirit of truth" is to say that His very essence and character is grounded in the Truth. The Holy Spirit speaks only the truth about the Father, the Son, God's will, sin, and salvation. There are other spirits in the world—evil spirits, lying spirits, spirits of confusion and deception. These spirits (which are really demons) mislead many people by masquerading as the Spirit of God. In 1John 4:1-4, we find a very practical way to "test the spirits." We are instructed to ask them what they believe about Jesus Christ. Is He really the Son of God? Is He really "the Word made flesh"? Do they believe in the Incarnation? If the answers are no, or if the spirits equivocate, they are not the Spirit of truth. What the Holy Spirit says and does is always in perfect accordance with the Word of God. For that matter, if someone comes to us and claims to have a revelation from God, but the revelation contains falsehoods or untruths of any kind, we can reject it immediately. It did not come from the Spirit of truth. There is another fascinating side of this name. Jesus said that "the world cannot accept Him" because the world neither sees nor knows Him. The Holy Spirit does not operate on the world's wave-length. That is why many try so hard to explain away the Christian faith. The world doesn't know God the Father, Jesus Christ, or the Holy Spirit! Perhaps that also gives an insight into the strange mysticism of these days. When men turn away from God's truth, they will believe any lie—reincarnation, voodoo, spiritualism, or warmed-over, "all religions are basically the same" propaganda. The Holy Spirit is not involved in any of those untruths. He is the Spirit of truth who teaches no error.

Strange things are often done and said in the name of the Holy Spirit. Yet the Spirit of truth never leads anyone to say or do anything that is contrary to the Word of God. God does not contradict Himself. His Word is truth, the Holy Spirit is the Spirit of truth. These two must always be held together. One other emphasis should be noted. Since in John's Gospel, truth is always wrapped up in Jesus Christ, the name "Spirit of truth" points to the Holy Spirit's role in bearing witness to Jesus. John 16:14 explicitly tells us that the Spirit will bring glory to Christ, not to Himself. The Spirit of truth points people to Jesus. Those who follow the Spirit's leading will do the same thing. We won't just argue doctrine or answer hard questions. When the Spirit fills a believer, that person will bear witness to Jesus. Spirit of truth, ground me in the Word of truth that I may bear witness to Jesus Christ who is the Truth. Amen. (Borrow Names of the Holy Spirit)

Spirit blest, Who art adored With the Father and the Word, One eternal God and Lord—Hear us, Holy Spirit.

Spirit guiding to the right,
Spirit making darkness light,
Spirit of resistless might—
Hear us, Holy Spirit.
-T B Pollock

QUESTION - Who is the Spirit of truth in John 14:17? | GotQuestions.org

ANSWER - In John 14:17, Jesus says, "Even the Spirit of truth, whom the world cannot receive, because it neither sees him nor knows him. You know him, for he dwells with you and will be in you" (ESV). Because the ESV capitalizes Spirit, modern readers can easily infer that the spirit in question is the Holy Spirit. To understand why Jesus refers to the Holy Spirit as the "Spirit of truth," let us review the context of John 14.

John 14 is part of the <u>Upper Room Discourse</u> (John 13—17), a collection of teachings delivered by Jesus to His disciples on the night before His crucifixion. In these final moments, the disciples were greatly distressed about the impending departure of their beloved friend, Jesus (John 14:1). For this reason, Jesus took an extended moment to calm their troubled hearts and reassure them

that "another Helper" was on the way (John 14:16, ESV).

The Greek term translated as "Helper" (John 14:16, 26; 15:26; 16:7) is *paráklētos*. The form of this word is passive and means "one who is called alongside." At the Son's request, the Father will send another Helper to encourage and exhort the disciples.

John's use of the term *another* implies that the disciples already had a helper—the one who would soon depart from the earth. Although the Gospel writers never explicitly refer to Jesus as a *paráklētos*, the term is applied to Him in 1 John 2:1. Thus, in the context of John 14:16, Jesus promises to send His disciples a helper of the same type, and that helper would continue the ministry that Jesus began.

In John 14:17, the identity of the helper is now revealed: He is the Spirit of truth (cf. John 15:26; 16:13). The Spirit of truth is God the Holy Spirit, the third Person of the Trinity. The Father will send the Spirit to come alongside the disciples. He is called the Spirit of truth because He bears witness to the truth of Jesus Christ (see John 14:6).

In contrast to the work of the Holy Spirit is the work of the devil, a being who does not hold "to the truth, for there is no truth in him. When he lies, he speaks his native language, for he is a liar and the <u>father of lies</u>" (<u>John 8:44</u>). Because the unbelieving world remains ensnared by satanic falsehoods, they cannot receive the Spirit of truth (cf. 1 Corinthians 2:14). Tragically, unbelievers prefer to walk by sight and not by faith, failing to understand that sight guarantees nothing.

At the moment of <u>His baptism</u>, Jesus received the Holy Spirit: John bore witness: "I saw the Spirit descend from heaven like a dove, and it remained on him" (John 1:32, ESV). So, in a sense, the Spirit of truth was already *with* the disciples. Following the departure of Jesus, however, the disciples will know the Spirit more intimately because He would be *in* them (cf. Romans 8:9–11 and Ephesians 1:13–14).

Before the disciples began their ministry, Jesus instructed them to remain in Jerusalem for the promised Holy Spirit: "And while staying with them he ordered them not to depart from Jerusalem, but to wait for the promise of the Father, which, he said, 'you heard from me; for John baptized with water, but you will be <u>baptized with the Holy Spirit</u> not many days from now" (Acts 1:4–5, ESV). Once the Holy Spirit came upon them, they were fully equipped to proclaim the gospel of Jesus Christ (verse 8).

Believers should be thankful that the Spirit of truth is *with* us, *in* us, and *upon* us. For, without His guidance and light, we could not distinguish truth from error.

Blood (129) haima is literally the red fluid that circulates in the heart, arteries, capillaries, and veins of a vertebrate animal carrying nourishment and oxygen to and bringing away waste products from all parts of the body and thus is essential for the preservation of life. **Haima** gives us English words like hemorrhage (Gk - haimorragia from haimo- + rragia from regnuo - to burst) English derivatives inclue hematology (study of blood) and "leukemia" which is from leuco (white) plus haima (blood), which is fitting as leukemia is a disease that affects the white blood cells. Derivatives of haima are : **haimatekchusía** (130), shedding of blood; **haimorroéō** (131), to hemorrhage. Haima was used to describe "descent" or "family" in ancient times. "To shed blood" is to destroy life.

<u>Dictionary of Biblical Imagery</u> (Online) - Excerpt of 5 page article - The appearance of blood is never a good sign. While blood is natural, the sight of it is not. To the ancients its red color, along with its mystical connection with life and death, made it a powerful and ominous symbol of violence and wrong, guilt and coming punishment. Only in the framework of sacrifice could blood portend good news.

HAIMA IN FIRST JOHN 1 Jn. 1:7; 1 Jn. 5:6; 1 Jn. 5:8

Testifies (3140)(martureo from mártus = witness = one who has information or knowledge of something and can bring to light or confirm something. English = martyr) in its most basic sense refers to a legal witness. Thus the verbmartureo means to be a witness, to testify, to give evidence, to give testimony, to bear record, to affirm that one has seen or heard or experienced something. The words testified related to fact, not opinion, as in a courtroom setting. A witness is someone who has personal and immediate knowledge of something and gives a true account of what they know by having seen or heard.

To confirm or attest something on the basis of personal knowledge or belief. To make a "declaration of ascertainable facts based on firsthand knowledge or experience" (Friberg). To bear witness (Ro 10:2). To confirm. To be a witness. All of the uses of marture on the Gospel of John fall into this category of meaning.

To affirm in a supportive manner. To testify favorably. To speak well of or give a good report (Of Jesus in Lk 4:22). To approve. A description of those who are approved (as here in Hebrews 11:2). Good reputation (Qualification of men who were to serve widows = Acts 6:3, Description of the Gentile Cornelius = Acts 10:22; Acts 22:12).

Wayne Detzler notes that... In ancient Greek literature the bearing of witness was related to the confirmation

of an event. One bore witness to support the truth of an event. The term was connected to the verb *merimnao* (that which requires the agreement of many minds). As many minds were brought to bear on a subject, the truth was established. Later on a witness was seen as presenting valid legal evidence. Plato insisted that such evidence must be given freely, without coercion. In the writings of the Stoics, this word came to mean evidence for certain beliefs and convictions. Thus the root of religious testimony was planted. (Borrow New Testament Words in Today's Language page 398)

NIDNTT - Classic Use - 1 (a) The noun martyria means making an active appearance and statements as a witness (martys), the earliest form of the basic noun being martyros in Homer, then later Aeolic martyr. martyria, denoting the confirmation of a fact or an event, is attested from Hom. Od. 11, 325 onwards, and cognate with mermēros, that which requires many minds, going back with this word to the common Indo-European root *smer, to be mindful of, from which merimnaō, to be concerned, may also be formed (cf. Lat. memor, mindful of). Its lexical content may be more precisely defined as reflective, interrogative recollection, re-call, i.e. calling up into consciousness something one has experienced which cannot be ignored or forgotten, and which is now in this sense brought to the notice of others, in order to impart to them by means of appropriate statements the content of this experience: that which has been experienced shall become evident by means of the testimony (cf. Plato, Symp. 179b). (Click for full 13 page article)

Dictionary of Biblical Imagery (excerpt) - In modern Western societies there are three types of "witness": (1) a witness to a signature on a will or other document, (2) a witness to a crime or a major event and (3) a religious witness, someone who "testifies" to the truth he or she holds. All three senses are present in the biblical understanding of "witness," but they converge much more closely with each other. The first (and basic) sense appears in the many passages in which God, other people, written documents, heaven and earth, and a whole range of objects are "called to witness" a commitment or agreement. Human witnesses observe Boaz's commitment to Ruth (Ruth 4:9—11) and Jeremiah's purchase of a field (Jer 32:10—12). Similarly, a small flock (Gen 21:30), a heap of stones (Gen 31:48), a specially constructed altar (Josh 22:27—28), a large stone (Josh 24:27; 1 Sam 6:18) or heaven and earth (Deut 4:26; 30:19) can be summoned as "witnesses" to a commitment between people or between God and human beings. God himself is frequently so summoned (e.g. [e.g. e.g. for example], Gen 31:50; Judg 11:10; 1 Sam 12:5; 20:23; Ser 42:5).

MARTUREO USES BY JOHN - Jn. 1:7; Jn. 1:8; Jn. 1:15; Jn. 1:32; Jn. 1:34; Jn. 2:25; Jn. 3:11; Jn. 3:26; Jn. 3:28; Jn. 3:32; Jn. 4:39; Jn. 4:44; Jn. 5:31; Jn. 5:32; Jn. 5:33; Jn. 5:36; Jn. 5:37; Jn. 5:39; Jn. 7:7; Jn. 8:13; Jn. 8:14; Jn. 8:18; Jn. 10:25; Jn. 12:17; Jn. 13:21; Jn. 15:26; Jn. 15:27; Jn. 18:23; Jn. 18:37; Jn. 19:35; Jn. 21:24; Jn. 1:2; 1 Jn. 4:14; 1 Jn. 5:6; 1 Jn. 5:7; 1 Jn. 5:9; 1 Jn. 5:10; 3 Jn. 1:3; 3 Jn. 1:6; 3 Jn. 1:12; Rev. 1:2; Rev. 22:16; Rev. 22:18; Rev. 22:20

Jesus (2424)(lesous) is a <u>transliteration</u> of the Greek lesous, which in turn is the transliteration of the Hebrew name <u>Jehoshua</u> (<u>Yehoshua</u>) or <u>Jeshua</u> (<u>Yeshua</u>) which mean Jehovah is help or Jehovah is salvation. Stated another way the Greek lesous corresponds to the OT <u>Jehoshua</u> (<u>Yehoshua</u>) which is contracted as <u>Jeshua</u> (<u>Yeshua</u>).

NET Note on **Jesus** - The Greek form of the name lēsous, which was translated into Latin as Jesus, is the same as the Hebrew Yeshua (Joshua), which means "Yahweh saves" (Yahweh is typically rendered as "LORD" in the OT). (NET Note)

IESOUS USES IN FIRST JOHN - 1 Jn. 1:3; 1 Jn. 1:7; 1 Jn. 2:1; 1 Jn. 2:22; 1 Jn. 3:23; 1 Jn. 4:2; 1 Jn. 4:3; 1 Jn. 4:15; 1 Jn. 5:1; 1 Jn. 5:5; 1 Jn. 5:6; 1 Jn. 5:20

Christ (5547)(Christos from chrio = to rub or anoint, consecrate to an office) means one who has been anointed, symbolizing appointment to a task. The majority of the NT uses refer to Jesus (exceptions = "false Christs" - Mt 24:24, Mk 13:22).

Christos is translated in the NAS 1995 edition as Christ (516x), Christ's (11x) and Messiah (4x - Mt 1:1, 16, 17, 2:4). The NIV and ESV never translate Christos as Messiah, but always as Christ. The Holman (HCSB) has an interesting approach and translates Christos as Messiah many times depending on the context (see explanatory note) The NLT paraphrase translates Christos as Messiah over 80 times. The NET translates Christos as Messiah in Jn 4:29, Acts 3:20, Eph 2:12. Many interpreters over the ages have commented on a possible wordplay between the Greek words for good (chrestos) and Christ (Christos), which as you note differ by only a single Greek letter. Whether a wordplay is intended or not, every believer can personally attest to the truth that Christos is chrestos!

Christos is used 55x in 54v in the Gospels not as Jesus' personal name but as an official designation for the One Whom the Jews were expecting (Mt 2:4, Lk 3:15). Over time as the human Jesus came to be recognized

and accepted as the personal Messiah, the definite article ("the") was dropped and the designation Christ came to be used as a personal name.

NIDNTT - **Christ** is derived via the Latin *Christus* from the Greek Christos, which in the Septuagint and the NT is the Greek equivalent of the Aramaic mešîha'. This in turn corresponds to the Hebrew mashiach/masiah and denotes someone who has been ceremonially anointed for an office. The Greek transliteration of mešîhā' is Messias, which like lēsous is made declinable by the added -s. (New International Dictionary of New Testament Theology See this page for a few instructions on how to most efficiently utilize this incredible resource.)

Related Resources:

- Messiah Anointed One
- Summary of Messianic Prophecies
- How a Jewish man Michael Goldstone met Messiah
- How to Recognize the Messiah as "More Than A Carpenter"
- Jewish Tradition of Two Messiahs
- Questions Skeptics Ask About Messianic Prophecy

Truth (225) <u>aletheia</u> from a = indicates following word has the opposite meaning ~ without + lanthano = to be hidden or concealed, to escape notice, cp our English "latent" from Latin = to lie hidden) has the literal sense of that which contains nothing hidden. Aletheia is that which is not concealed. Aletheia is that which that is seen or expressed as it really is. **Truth** then is the correspondence between a **reality** and a declaration which professes to set forth or describe the **reality**.

The basic understanding of aletheia is that it is the manifestation of a hidden reality (eg, click discussion of Jesus as "the Truth"). For example, when you are a witness in a trial, the court attendant says "Raise your right hand. Do you swear that you will tell the truth and nothing but the truth so help you God?" And you say, "I do" and you sit down. The question the court attendant is asking is "Are you willing to come into this courtroom and manifest something that is hidden to us that only you know so that you will bear evidence to that?" Therefore when you speak the truth, you are manifesting a "hidden reality". Does that make sense? An parallel example in Scripture is the case of the woman in the crowd who had touched Jesus (Read context = Mk 5:24-25, 26-27, 28-29, 30, 31-32), but when she became "aware of what had happened to her, came and fell down before Him, and told Him the whole truth " (Mk 5:33) and nothing but the truth. She did not lie. She spoke no falsehoods.

ALETHEIA USES BY JOHN - Jn. 1:14; Jn. 1:17; Jn. 3:21; Jn. 4:23; Jn. 4:24; Jn. 5:33; Jn. 8:32; Jn. 8:40; Jn. 8:44; Jn. 8:45; Jn. 8:46; Jn. 14:6; Jn. 14:17; Jn. 15:26; Jn. 16:7; Jn. 16:13; Jn. 17:17; Jn. 17:19; Jn. 18:37; Jn. 18:38; 1 Jn. 1:6; 1 Jn. 1:8; 1 Jn. 2:4; 1 Jn. 2:21; 1 Jn. 3:18; 1 Jn. 3:19; 1 Jn. 4:6; 1 Jn. 5:6; 2 Jn. 1:1; 2 Jn. 1:2; 2 Jn. 1:3; 2 Jn. 1:4; 3 Jn. 1:1; 3 Jn. 1:3; 3 Jn. 1:4; 3 Jn. 1:8; 3 Jn. 1:12

Daniel Akin - Jesus the Christ came by water (His baptism) but also by blood (3x). Some believe, putting water and blood together, this refers to: 1) Baptism and the Lord's supper. 2) The water and blood from His side when He was crucified (John 19:34-35). However, the historic context out of which John wrote, and the false teaching that was troubling the church, provides the interpretive key we need. A Gnostic named Cerinthus claimed that the man Jesus was born a normal, ordinary human being, but became God's special agent when the heavenly Christ descended on Him at His baptism. You might say God adopted Him as His Son at this point. However, the Christ-spirit or heavenly Christ abandoned Him before His death (cf. His cry in Matthew 27:46). Consequently it was only the man Jesus, now forsaken by the Christ-spirit, who died on the cross. His death had no saving, redemptive value. John counters this. Jesus came by the water of His baptism and the blood of His cross. Though modernists might package their rejection of Christ and His atoning death on the cross a bit differently, the bottom line is the same, Calvary and the cross and the Son of God hanging there is not of ultimate significance for my salvation.

"Barna Report" (Fall 2002) --

50 percent of adults embraced a works-based approach to salvation, agreeing that anyone who "is generally good or does enough good things for others during their life will earn a place in heaven." Surprisingly, some 40 percent of self-professed Protestants also agreed with the statement. -- 51 percent agreed with the Catholic belief that "praying to deceased saints can have a positive effect in a person's life." Surprisingly, one out of six evangelicals agreed with the statement. -- A large minority – 42 percent – believed that Jesus sinned while on earth. -- Or to really make the point: "There is nothing divine in the blood of the cross." (Delores Williams, Sisters in the wilderness, 61) (**ED**: WOE!!! SHE WILL RUE THE DAY SHE WROTE THIS LIE ABOUT THE

In contrast to these perspectives, the N.T. scholar I. Howard Marshall says,

"As soon as we reduce the death of Jesus to that of a mere man, so soon do we lose the cardinal point of the N.T. doctrine of the atonement, that God was in Christ reconciling the world to himself; in the last analysis, the doctrine of the atonement means that God himself bears our sins and shows that the final reality in the universe is his sin-bearing, pardoning love, but if Jesus is not the Son of God, His death can no longer bear this significance." (Borrow The Epistles of John page 232).

OF THE WATER AND THE BLOOD

Steven Cole goes into considerable detail on the interpretative problems in this section of First John -

The more difficult problem is to determine what John means by his reference to "the water and the blood" (1Jn 5:6) and to "the Spirit and the water and the blood" (1Jn 5:8). It is certain that John is establishing the historical factualness of the incarnation and earthly ministry of Jesus Christ and citing God's testimony to substantiate it. In Jewish thought, a point is confirmed in a court of law by the testimony of two or three witnesses. John here brings forth three witnesses that agree that Jesus Christ is the Son of God. He also seems to be refuting the false teachers by using expressions that were already familiar to his readers, but which are not so readily understood by us. Hence the difficulty of interpreting these verses. **There have been four main interpretations** (On views 1, 2, & 4, I'm following John Stott, The Epistles of John [Eerdmans], pp. 177-178; I think he misrepresents Calvin's view, as does James Boice, The Epistles of John [Zondervan], p. 163).

- (1) Some understand the water and the blood as a symbolic reference to the sacraments of baptism and communion This was Luther's view and several commentators say that it was Calvin's view. But it is not Calvin's view, which I will explain in a moment. This view is unlikely for two reasons. First, while water may well stand for baptism, blood would be an unusual symbol for the Lord's Supper. John would not likely omit a reference to Christ's body if he meant the Lord's Supper. Second, John says that Jesus came by water and blood, which points to His past historical coming, not to any ongoing spiritual coming through the sacraments.
- (2) Some link this passage with John 19:34-35, where John testifies to the blood and water that flowed from the spear wound in Jesus' side. Augustine and some other ancient commentators held this view. At first glance it seems logical since John wrote both passages. Both texts emphasize the water and the blood, and both emphasize the idea of testimony. But the similarities are not so close upon further examination. In 1 John, Jesus came by water and blood, whereas in the Gospel, it was blood and water that came out of Jesus. In 1 John, the water and blood bear witness to Jesus, whereas in the Gospel, John bears witness to the blood and water. In 1 John, the water and blood seem to bear witness to Jesus' divine-human person, whereas in the Gospel, the blood and water bear witness to Jesus' human death, and perhaps to the salvation provided by it. (3)
- (3) A third approach is that of John Calvin (Calvin's Commentary). C. H. Spurgeon seems to have followed Calvin here (1 John 5:6 By Water and Blood). Calvin viewed the terms as referring to the Old Testament rites of purification and blood sacrifice, which Jesus Christ fulfilled in His earthly ministry. Thus, as Spurgeon explains (Ibid), "By the terms 'water' and 'blood' we understand the purifying and the pardoning effects of Christ's work for his people." While this is true on a secondary level, I do not think that it is John's primary meaning. He is setting forth facts that establish God's testimony to the person and work of Jesus Christ as historically revealed. While Jesus' person and work do cleanse us from sin and pardon us, those are not the historic facts to which John is directing his readers in order to refute the heretics.
- (4) Thus the most satisfactory interpretation takes water as a reference to Jesus' baptism (at the outset of His earthly ministry) and blood as a reference to His death on the cross. This was Tertullian's view (circa 160/170 to circa 215/220). It is the best view because in the context, John is emphasizing the historical foundations of the faith. Both His baptism and the cross are historic experiences that bear witness to Jesus' divine-human person. At each of these events, the Father intervened in a miraculous way to bear testimony to His Son. At Jesus' baptism, the Spirit descended on Him as a dove and the voice from heaven declared (Mt. 3:17), "This is My beloved Son in whom I am well-pleased." At His crucifixion, the sky was darkened, the earth quaked, numerous resurrections took place, and the veil in the Temple was torn from top to bottom (Mt. 27:51-53). This interpretation also fits with what we know of the historical setting of 1John. The Cerinthian Gnostics, whom John refutes throughout the letter, taught that Jesus was a mere man upon whom "the Christ" descended at His baptism and from whom "the Christ" departed before His death. These false teachers could not conceive of how a divine Savior could have died on the cross. To refute this serious heresy, John

shows that Jesus was the **Christos** (God's Anointed [Ed: See Anointed One - Messiah]) before His baptism, where that fact was authenticated by the Spirit. "**Came**" implies that He came to earth from heaven. Since the Gnostics agreed that Jesus was the Christ at His baptism, John adds (1Jn 5:6b), "not with the water only, but with the water and the blood." This is to say that He was the Christ during and after His crucifixion. Then John adds (1Jn 5:6c-8): "It is the Spirit who testifies, because the Spirit is the truth. For there are three that testify: the Spirit and the water and the blood; and the three are in agreement." As mentioned, the Holy Spirit bore witness to Jesus at His baptism and at His death.

Spurgeon (ibid., <u>The Three Witnesses</u>) points out that in Leviticus 8 (+), when the priests were consecrated, they were washed with water, anointed with oil (a type of the Holy Spirit), and the blood of a sacrificial ram was applied to their ear, thumb, and toe. Even so, Jesus our great High Priest was washed with water at His baptism, anointed by the Spirit, and offered His own blood as the final and sufficient sacrifice for our sins.

John's point here is that God has borne witness to His Son, the Lord Jesus Christ. The Spirit of truth bore witness to Jesus at His baptism, when He identified with sinners, although He Himself did not need to be cleansed. He testified of Jesus throughout His earthly ministry, through His miracles, His teaching, and His obedient life. He bore witness to Jesus as the Lamb of God, who takes away the sins of the world, initially through John the Baptist's witness (John 1:29), but supremely at the cross. He confirmed that witness through the bodily resurrection of Jesus from the dead (Rom. 1:4). The Spirit bore further witness when, in fulfillment of Jesus' promise, He descended on the church at the Day of Pentecost. He further affirmed the witness to Jesus through the miracles that the apostles performed. We have that witness in the New Testament.

Thus John's point is that God's threefold witness to His Son—the Spirit, the water, and the blood—is trustworthy In a court of law, truth is established when numerous witnesses say the same thing and when those witnesses are shown to have credible character. John shows us that the three witnesses all agree, and they are not just the testimony of men, but of God Himself. Thus John argues (1Jn 5:9), "If we receive the testimony of men, the testimony of God is greater; for the testimony of God is this, that He has testified concerning His Son." Every day we trust the testimony of fallen men, who are fallible at best. We could not deposit money in a bank, ride in a car, buy food at the grocery store, take an aspirin for a headache, or do any of the many things we do in our daily lives if we did not trust the witness of men. John is saying, "If you trust in men every day, can't you trust what God has testified concerning His Son?"

If you're not familiar with God's testimony, you can read it in the New Testament. Be careful, though, to read it prayerfully and with a submissive, searching heart, asking God to open your eyes to His truth. If you come at it as a proud skeptic, demanding proof, you will come away empty, because God is not in the business of giving proof to proud sinners. If you come at it with preconceived notions of what the Savior should be like, you are likely to miss Him, because He is not a Jesus who fits your every desire and whim. You can't make up a Jesus of your own liking. You must accept God's testimony to the Jesus of the Bible.

The Jews of Jesus' day, including the disciples, couldn't conceive of a Messiah who would suffer and die, even though Isaiah 53:1-12 and Psalm 22:1-31 (Ed: For example see study of Ps 22:6 - I Am A Worm and Not A Man - Psalm 22:6 - Speaks of the Messiah), along with the entire Old Testament sacrificial system, clearly predicted such. (Ed: See also The Jewish Tradition of Two Messiahs) The risen Lord Jesus pointed out to the men on the Emmaus Road (Luke 24:25-26), "O foolish men and slow of heart to believe in all that the prophets have spoken! Was it not necessary for the Christ to suffer these things and to enter into His glory?" Luke adds (Lk 24:27), "Then beginning with Moses and with all the prophets, He explained to them the things concerning Himself in all the Scriptures." The Scriptures give us God's clear testimony of His Son. What should we do with this testimony? Clearly, we must receive it or believe it personally. If we do not believe it, as John shows (1Jn 5:10), we make God a liar. If we do receive it, we have a sure foundation for our faith. (1 John 5:5-13 Is Christianity Merely Psychological?)